

DUAL FORMULATION OF CLASSICAL W-ALGEBRAS

R.E.C. Perret

*Department of Physics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0484*

Submitted to: *Letters in Mathematical Physics*

Abstract:

By extending the concept of Maurer-Cartan equations, I introduce a dual formulation of (classical) nonlinear extensions of the Virasoro algebra. This dual formulation is closely related to three dimensional actions which are analogous to a Chern-Simons action. I present an explicit construction in terms of superfields of the $N = 2$ super W_4 -algebra.

USC-92/16

August 1992

1. W -algebras [1] are nonlinear extensions of the Virasoro algebra which play an important role in many areas in mathematical physics. Although they originally appeared in the classical version (as Hamiltonian structures on integrable hierarchies [2]), the classical structure of these algebras has attracted a wider attention only recently (see e.g. [3]). The classical structure of a W -algebra is expressed in terms of Poisson brackets between the generators. For conventional Lie algebras, an alternative formulation in terms of one-forms dual to the generators is available, which has proved useful, especially in complex situations such as supergravity theories. The equations expressing the algebraic structure are the Maurer-Cartan equations for the left invariant one-forms on the group (see e.g. [4]). The Maurer-Cartan equations have a natural generalization in which forms of arbitrary degree are admitted, and which leads to free differential algebras (for a review, see [5]). In this letter I extend this concept further, allowing the generators of the algebra as zero-forms in the free differential algebra. This generalization allows one to formulate nonlinear algebras in a compact way.

An interesting aspect of this formulation is that the Maurer-Cartan equations are the equations of motion of a three-dimensional action which generalizes Chern-Simons theory for a compact gauge group. In the case of the Virasoro algebra, this action is related to Polyakov's chiral induced gravity in exactly the same way as Chern-Simons theories are related to WZW-models [6].

The relation with generalized Chern-Simons theory is very useful for the explicit construction of W -algebras. As an example, I give the complete structure of $N = 2$ super W_4 , for which the conventional construction has not been carried out.

2. The structure of an arbitrary Lie algebra can be specified by the Maurer-Cartan equations

$$dA + \frac{1}{2}[A, A] = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the Lie bracket and $A = A^a T_a$ is the canonical Lie algebra valued one-form. The components A^a of A are left invariant one-forms on the corresponding group, dual to the left invariant vector fields T_a , which are in one to one correspondence with the generators of the algebra. Equivalently, the left hand side of (2.1) can be viewed as the definition of the curvature two-form corresponding to the gauge potential A . The consistency condition $d^2 A = 0$ is satisfied by virtue of the Jacobi identities satisfied by the Lie bracket.

For the Virasoro algebra, the Maurer-Cartan equations can be written as

$$d\mu(x) + \mu(x)\mu'(x) = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$da + \int dx \mu(x)\mu'''(x) = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Here, x is a coordinate on the circle, and $\mu(x)$ and a are the components of A dual (in the above sense) to the stress tensor $T(x)$ and the central element, respectively.

One can construct an alternative algebraic structure involving the dual objects μ and T , which is equivalent to (2.2,3). Consider the system

$$d\mu(x) + \mu(x)\mu'(x) = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\nabla T(x) + \mu'''(x) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Here, $\nabla\phi \equiv d\phi + s\mu'\phi + \mu\phi'$ denotes the covariant derivative of the tensor ϕ of spin s , the spin of μ and T being -1 and 2 respectively. It is easy to verify that (2.4,5) is integrable in the sense that the consistency conditions $d^2 T = d^2 \mu = 0$ are satisfied. Hence, this system defines a (generalized) free differential algebra, with the generator T appearing as a zero-form. Moreover, since (2.5) is the Virasoro Ward identity, which by itself completely characterizes the Virasoro algebra [7], (2.4,5) can be considered as equivalent to the system (2.2,3). In this case, the conventional description in terms of one-forms (2.2,3) is available, but in the case of the W_3 -algebra the inclusion of generators in the dual description cannot be avoided.

To pass from one of the systems (2.2,3), (2.4,5) to the other, it is useful to notice that both are related to the three dimensional action

$$S = \int dx \int (2TF + \mu\mu'''), \quad (2.6)$$

where $F \equiv d\mu + \mu\mu'$ is the field strength corresponding to μ . The second integral here is over a two dimensional surface perpendicular to the x direction. The differential forms in this letter have components only along this surface. The action (2.6) consists of a "kinetic" piece, which is the product of the field strength F and the generator T , and the Gel'fand-Fuchs cocycle appearing in (2.3). A procedure to derive this action from the system (2.2,3) is described in detail elsewhere [6]. However, as I will discuss presently, actions of this type can be constructed directly, without reference to a system of the form (2.2,3). Eqs. (2.4,5), or generalizations thereof, are then obtained as the equations of motion corresponding to this action.

The action (2.6) is the analogue for the Virasoro algebra of the Chern-Simons action for a compact gauge group, which is well known to be related to the corresponding Kac-Moody algebra. This analogy with Chern-Simons theory is clear if one writes the latter in a $2+1$ decomposition

$$S = \text{tr} \int dx \int (2A_x F - AA'). \quad (2.7)$$

Under ordinary gauge transformations in three dimensions, A_x transforms as a current of the Kac-Moody algebra, so that the first term in (2.7) is analogous to the first term in (2.6). The second term in (2.7) is the Kac-Moody cocycle and is the analogue of the Gel'fand-Fuchs cocycle in (2.6).

The Virasoro action (2.6) can be generalized in an obvious manner to include additional spins. For each additional generator, one also introduces a dual one-form. The kinetic piece should then contain the product of each generator with the corresponding field strength, and the Gel'fand-Fuchs cocycle should be extended to the most general closed two-form that can be constructed from the dual one-forms and the generators. This procedure automatically yields equations of motion which form an integrable system, and is in fact an efficient method to construct such systems.

The W_3 -algebra contains, in addition to the spin 2 field $T(x)$ and the central element, a spin 3 field $W(x)$. The corresponding dual one-form $\nu(x)$ has spin -2 . In order to incorporate these fields in the system (2.2,3), one has to construct a closed two-form involving ν analogous to the Gel'fand-Fuchs cocycle $\int dx \mu\mu'''$. The leading part of this two-form is expected to be

$$\int dx \nu\nu^{(5)}. \quad (2.8)$$

Such a cocycle however cannot be constructed from ν alone; one also needs to include the stress tensor T .

To see this, I supplement eqs. (2.4,5) with

$$\nabla\nu = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

The left hand side of this equation is the minimal form of the field strength for ν , as dictated by the conformal symmetry. I will always take this minimal expression as a starting point for constructing the action. The full field strength then follows as an equation of motion of this action, and will contain in general corrections to the ansatz of the form (2.9).

To construct the W_3 cocycle, I consider the exterior derivative of $\int dx \nu\nu^{(5)}$

$$d \int dx \nu\nu^{(5)} = \int dx (10\nu\nu'''\mu''' - 6\nu\nu'\mu^{(5)}). \quad (2.10)$$

Observe that the right hand side of (2.10) only involves derivatives of μ''' . Because of this, it can be cancelled by adding the following terms

$$\int dx (10\nu\nu'''T - 6\nu\nu'T''). \quad (2.11)$$

The derivative of (2.11), in turn, is cancelled by a term quadratic in T

$$\int dx 16\nu\nu'T^2, \quad (2.12)$$

which is closed by itself. Hence the sum of eqs. (2.8,11,12) is a closed two-form, which can be added to the Gel'fand-Fuchs cocycle in eq. (2.3). It expresses the fact that the commutator of two W charges contains

terms proportional to the stress tensor, although it does so in an unconventional way by introducing terms proportional to T in the central extension. The advantage of this approach is that the nonlinearities can be incorporated naturally by allowing higher powers of the generators, such as the T^2 term of eq. (2.12).

The Virasoro Chern-Simons action (2.6) can now be extended to the following W_3 Chern-Simons action

$$S = \int dx \int (2TF + 2W\nabla\nu + \mu\mu''' + \nu\nu^{(5)} + 10\nu\nu'''T - 6\nu\nu'T'' + 16\nu\nu'T^2). \quad (2.13)$$

The equations of motion corresponding to this action are

$$d\mu + \mu\mu' - \nu\nu''' - 6\nu'\nu'' + 32\nu\nu'T = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\nabla\nu = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\nabla T + \mu''' + 3\nu'W + 2\nu W' = 0, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\nabla W + D^5\nu = 0, \quad (2.17)$$

where D^5 is the Gel'fand-Dickey operator acting on spin -2

$$D^5\nu \equiv \nu^{(5)} + 10\nu'''T + 15\nu''T' + 9\nu'T'' + 2\nu T''' + 16\nu'T^2 + 16\nu TT'. \quad (2.18)$$

This system is again easily seen to be integrable. Notice that eqs. (2.16,17) are the familiar W_3 Ward identities (see e.g. [8]). These Ward identities, as well as the definitions of the curvatures (2.14,15) are summarized in a compact way in the Chern-Simons action (12).

Other extensions of the Virasoro algebra can be constructed in exactly the same way. For example, the $W_3^{(2)}$ algebra [9], containing, besides the stress tensor, two bosonic spins $3/2$, $G_{\pm}$, and a $U(1)$ charge, J , is characterized by the following action

$$S = \int dx \int (2TF + G_+\nabla\psi_- - G_-\nabla\psi_+ + 2J\nabla A + \mu\mu''' + AA' + \psi_+\psi_-' + 2Q\psi_+\psi_-'J + Q\psi_+\psi_-J' + \frac{1}{2}\psi_+\psi_-T + (Q^2 - \frac{1}{4})\psi_+\psi_-J^2). \quad (2.19)$$

Here, the subscripts $\pm$ label the $U(1)$ charges of the fields in units of Q , which is determined to be $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-3}$, and $\nabla\phi$ now denotes the covariant derivative including the $U(1)$ connection A of the field ϕ of spin s and charge q

$$\nabla\phi \equiv \phi + s\mu'\phi + \mu\phi' + qA\phi. \quad (2.20)$$

3. An interesting class of extensions of the Virasoro algebra are the super W -algebras. The formalism presented in this letter can be extended to the super case, describing the algebra in terms of an action for superfields in superspace. I will discuss here the $N = 2$ super W_3 - [10] and super W_4 -algebras.

I will use the following notation. Let $\theta \equiv \theta_1 + \theta_2$, $\bar{\theta} \equiv \theta_1 - \theta_2$ denote chiral combinations of the fermionic coordinates, and $D \equiv \partial_{\bar{\theta}} + \theta\partial_x$, $\bar{D} \equiv \partial_{\theta} + \bar{\theta}\partial_x$ the corresponding superderivatives. Then $\phi' = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{D}D + D\bar{D})\phi$ is the ordinary derivative, while I define $\dot{\phi} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\bar{D}D - D\bar{D})\phi$.

The super Virasoro algebra is characterized by the following action in $N = 2$ superspace

$$S = \int dx d\bar{\theta} d\theta \int (2JF + \mu\dot{\mu}'), \quad (3.1)$$

where μ and J now denote $N = 2$ superfields having the corresponding bosonic fields as their lowest components. The $N = 2$ field strength is defined as

$$F \equiv d\mu + \mu\mu' + \frac{1}{2}\bar{D}\mu D\mu, \quad (3.2)$$

and, similarly, the $N = 2$ supercovariant derivative is

$$\nabla\phi = d\phi + s\mu'\phi + \mu\phi' + \frac{1}{2}\bar{D}\mu D\phi + \frac{1}{2}D\mu\bar{D}\phi. \quad (3.3)$$

Notice that the generator dual to μ now is a spin 1 charge J . This is dictated by the dimension of the $N = 2$ superspace measure. The super Virasoro Ward identity following from (3.1) is

$$\nabla J + \dot{\mu}' = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

As an aside, the maximal super extension of the Virasoro algebra that can be formulated in this fashion is the $N = 3$ algebra, with action

$$S = \int dx d\theta_1 d\theta_2 d\theta_3 \int (2\Phi(d\mu + \mu\mu' + \frac{1}{4}D_i\mu D_i\mu) + \mu D_1 D_2 D_3 \mu), \quad (3.5)$$

where Φ is a spin 1/2 field.

A useful property of the $N = 2$ superconformal algebra is the parity symmetry $\theta \leftrightarrow \bar{\theta}$, $\mu \rightarrow \mu$, $J \rightarrow -J$. Both the measure and the lagrangian in (3.1) are odd under this parity symmetry.

The $N = 2$ super W_3 -algebra contains, in addition to μ and J , again a spin -2 one-form ν which is now accompanied by a spin 2 charge S . ν and S have negative and positive parity, respectively. To determine the closed two-form to appear in the action, I first determine the structure required by superconformal covariance. That is, analogously to the bosonic case, I take as a starting point the superconformal Ward identity (3.4) supplemented by the equations

$$\nabla\nu = \nabla S + \dot{\nu}''' = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

and determine the most general cocycle that can be built from ν and S , consistent with parity. In this case, there are two independent cocycles, one with leading term $\nu\dot{\nu}'''$, and an additional one linear in S , with leading term $\nu\dot{\nu}'S$. A short calculation analogous to the one leading to (2.11,12) gives the full structure of these cocycles

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0 = & \frac{1}{2}\nu\dot{\nu}''' + \nu\nu'''J + 3\nu\bar{D}\nu''DJ + \nu\dot{\nu}'\dot{J} + 4\nu\bar{D}\nu'DJ' \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\nu\dot{\nu}J' + \frac{3}{2}\nu\bar{D}\nu DJ'' \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\nu\dot{\nu}'J^2 - \nu\bar{D}\nu JDJ - \frac{1}{2}\nu\dot{\nu}JJ' + 2\nu\nu'J\dot{J} - \frac{15}{4}\nu\nu'\bar{D}JDJ \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\nu\bar{D}\nu JDJ' + \frac{9}{4}\nu\bar{D}\nu\dot{J}DJ - \frac{3}{4}J'DJ \\ & - \nu\bar{D}\nu J^2DJ - \nu\nu'J^3 - \text{p.c.}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 = & \alpha_1(\frac{1}{2}\nu\dot{\nu}'S + \frac{1}{2}\nu\bar{D}\nu' DS + \frac{1}{4}\nu\dot{\nu}S' + \frac{3}{20}\nu\nu'\dot{S} + \frac{3}{10}\nu\bar{D}\nu DS' \\ & + \frac{7}{10}\nu\nu'JS + \frac{9}{10}\nu\bar{D}\nu SDJ - \frac{1}{10}\nu\bar{D}\nu JDS) - \text{p.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Here p.c. denotes the parity conjugate.

The action generating the differential algebra can be written as

$$S = \int dx d\bar{\theta} d\theta \int (2JF + 2S\nabla\nu + \mu\dot{\mu}' + \omega_0 + \omega_1), \quad (3.9)$$

involving a single constant α_1 . This constant is to be determined by imposing the integrability condition on the equations of motion. In this way one obtains $\alpha_1^2 = -25$, where the sign ambiguity corresponds to the freedom of flipping the sign of both ν and S .

The $N = 2$ super W_4 -algebra contains, in addition to the fields of the $N = 2$ W_3 -algebra, a spin -3 one-form π of positive parity, and a spin 3 charge V of negative parity. As a starting point, one supplements eqs. (3.4,6) with

$$\nabla\pi = \nabla V + \dot{\pi}^{(5)} = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

In addition to (3.7,8), one has then the following closed combinations

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_2 = & \frac{1}{2}\pi\dot{\pi}^{(5)} + \frac{3}{2}\pi\pi^{(5)}J + \frac{15}{2}\pi\bar{D}\pi^{(4)}DJ + 5\pi\dot{\pi}''' \dot{J} + \frac{15}{2}\pi\pi^{(4)}J' \\
& + 20\pi\bar{D}\pi'''DJ' + \frac{15}{2}\pi\dot{\pi}''\dot{J}' + 15\pi\pi'''J'' + \frac{45}{2}\pi\bar{D}\pi''DJ'' + \frac{9}{2}\pi\dot{\pi}'\dot{J}'' \\
& + 12\pi\bar{D}\pi'DJ''' + \pi\dot{\pi}'\dot{J}''' - \frac{15}{2}\pi\pi'J^{(4)} + \frac{5}{2}\pi\bar{D}\pi DJ^{(4)} \\
& - \frac{5}{2}\pi\dot{\pi}'''J^2 + 5\pi\bar{D}\pi'''JDJ - \frac{15}{2}\pi\dot{\pi}''JJ' - \frac{105}{4}\pi\pi''' \bar{D}JDJ \\
& + 15\pi\pi'''J\dot{J} + \frac{195}{4}\pi\bar{D}\pi''\dot{J}DJ - \frac{15}{2}\pi\bar{D}\pi''JDJ' - \frac{45}{4}\pi\bar{D}\pi''J'DJ \\
& - \frac{3}{2}\pi\dot{\pi}'\bar{D}J'DJ + 8\pi\dot{\pi}'\dot{J}^2 - \frac{9}{2}\pi\dot{\pi}'J'^2 - \frac{9}{2}\pi\dot{\pi}'JJ'' \\
& - \frac{9}{2}\pi\bar{D}\pi'JDJ'' + \frac{99}{2}\pi\bar{D}\pi'\dot{J}DJ - 9\pi\bar{D}\pi'J''DJ + 64\pi\bar{D}\pi'\dot{J}DJ' \\
& - 12\pi\bar{D}\pi'J'DJ' \\
& - \frac{3}{4}\pi\dot{\pi}\bar{D}J''DJ + 8\pi\dot{\pi}\dot{J}\dot{J}' - \pi\dot{\pi}JJ''' - 3\pi\dot{\pi}J'J'' \\
& - 9\pi\pi'J\dot{J}'' - \frac{45}{2}\pi\pi'J'\dot{J}' + \frac{105}{4}\pi\pi'\bar{D}J''DJ + 35\pi\pi'\bar{D}J'DJ' \\
& - \pi\bar{D}\pi JDJ''' - \frac{15}{4}\pi\bar{D}\pi J'DJ'' - 5\pi\bar{D}\pi J''DJ' - \frac{5}{2}\pi\bar{D}\pi J'''DJ \\
& + 15\pi\bar{D}\pi J''DJ + \frac{65}{2}\pi\bar{D}\pi\dot{J}'DJ' + \frac{95}{4}\pi\bar{D}\pi\dot{J}DJ'' \\
& - \frac{15}{2}\pi\pi'''J^3 - \frac{45}{2}\pi\bar{D}\pi''J^2DJ - 8\pi\dot{\pi}'J^2\dot{J} + \frac{3}{4}\pi\dot{\pi}'J\bar{D}JDJ \\
& - \frac{61}{2}\pi\bar{D}\pi'J^2DJ' - \frac{177}{4}\pi\bar{D}\pi'JJ'DJ - \frac{67}{4}\pi\bar{D}\pi'J\dot{J}DJ \\
& - 4\pi\dot{\pi}J^2\dot{J}' - 8\pi\dot{\pi}J\dot{J}' + \frac{3}{8}\pi\dot{\pi}J'\bar{D}JDJ + \frac{3}{4}\pi\dot{\pi}J\bar{D}JDJ' \\
& + 24\pi\pi'J\dot{J}^2 + \frac{63}{2}\pi\pi'JJ'^2 + 9\pi\pi'J^2J'' \\
& - \frac{735}{8}\pi\pi'\dot{J}\bar{D}JDJ - \frac{17}{4}\pi\pi'J\bar{D}JDJ' \\
& + \frac{225}{8}\pi\bar{D}\pi\dot{J}^2DJ - \frac{105}{8}\pi\bar{D}\pi J'^2DJ - \frac{17}{2}\pi\bar{D}\pi J\dot{J}'DJ - 13\pi\bar{D}\pi JJ''DJ \\
& - \frac{25}{2}\pi\bar{D}\pi\dot{J}J'DJ - \frac{33}{4}\pi\bar{D}\pi J\dot{J}DJ' - \frac{121}{4}\pi\bar{D}\pi JJ'DJ' \\
& - \frac{23}{2}\pi\bar{D}\pi J^2DJ'' - \frac{5}{2}\pi\bar{D}\pi DJ'\bar{D}JDJ \\
& + 2\pi\dot{\pi}'J^4 + 4\pi\bar{D}\pi'J^3DJ \\
& + 4\pi\dot{\pi}J^3J' - 24\pi\pi'J^3\dot{J} + 46\pi\pi'J^2\bar{D}JDJ \\
& + 4\pi\bar{D}\pi J^3DJ' - 26\pi\bar{D}\pi J^2\dot{J}DJ + 14\pi\bar{D}\pi J^2J'DJ \\
& + 6\pi\pi'J^5 + 6\pi\bar{D}\pi J^4DJ - \text{p.c.},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_3 = & \alpha_3(\frac{1}{2}\nu\pi'''S + \frac{3}{4}\nu\bar{D}\pi''DS + \frac{3}{2}\nu\pi''S' + \frac{3}{20}\nu\dot{\pi}'\dot{S} + \frac{6}{5}\nu\bar{D}\pi'DS' \\
& + \frac{3}{2}\nu\pi'S'' + \frac{1}{10}\nu\dot{\pi}\dot{S}' + \frac{1}{2}\nu\bar{D}\pi DS'' + \frac{1}{2}\nu\pi S''' \\
& - \frac{3}{10}\nu\dot{\pi}'JS - \frac{9}{10}\nu\bar{D}\pi'SDJ - \frac{3}{20}\nu\bar{D}\pi'JDS \\
& - \frac{1}{5}\nu\dot{\pi}J'S - \frac{1}{5}\nu\dot{\pi}JS' - \frac{1}{8}\nu\dot{\pi}\bar{D}JDS \\
& + \nu\pi'\dot{J}S + \frac{9}{20}\nu\pi'J\dot{S} + \frac{21}{8}\nu\pi'\bar{D}JDS \\
& - \frac{1}{10}\nu\bar{D}\pi JDS' - \frac{1}{8}\nu\bar{D}\pi J'DS + \frac{5}{8}\nu\bar{D}\pi\dot{J}DS \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\nu\bar{D}\pi S DJ' - \frac{3}{4}\nu\bar{D}\pi S'DJ + \frac{1}{2}\nu\bar{D}\pi\dot{S}DJ \\
& + \frac{3}{10}\nu\pi J\dot{S}' + \nu\pi\dot{J}S' + \frac{9}{20}\nu\pi J'S\dot{S} \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\nu\pi\dot{J}'S + \frac{7}{4}\nu\pi\bar{D}J'DS + \frac{21}{10}\nu\pi\bar{D}JDS' \\
& - \frac{7}{5}\nu\pi'J^2S - \frac{3}{10}\nu\bar{D}\pi J^2DS - \frac{4}{5}\nu\bar{D}\pi JDJS - \frac{11}{10}\nu\pi J^2S' \\
& - 2\nu\pi JJ'S + \frac{1}{5}\nu\pi J\bar{D}JDS \\
& + \frac{3}{40}\nu\nu'V + \frac{1}{40}\nu\bar{D}\nu DV) - \text{p.c.},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

$$\omega_4 = \alpha_4(\frac{1}{2}\pi\dot{\pi}'''S + \frac{1}{2}\pi\bar{D}\pi'''DS + \frac{3}{4}\pi\dot{\pi}''S' + \frac{3}{20}\pi\pi''' \dot{S} + \frac{9}{10}\pi\bar{D}\pi''DS'$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{9}{20}\pi\dot{\pi}'S'' + \frac{3}{5}\pi\bar{D}\pi'DS'' + \frac{1}{10}\pi\dot{\pi}S''' - \frac{3}{35}\pi\pi'\dot{S}'' + \frac{1}{7}\pi\bar{D}\pi DS''' \\
& + \frac{6}{5}\pi\pi'''JS + \frac{21}{5}\pi\bar{D}\pi''DJ S - \frac{3}{10}\pi\bar{D}\pi''JDS \\
& + \frac{8}{5}\pi\dot{\pi}'\dot{J}S - \frac{3}{10}\pi\dot{\pi}'\bar{D}JDS \\
& + \frac{29}{5}\pi\bar{D}\pi'SDJ' - \frac{3}{10}\pi\bar{D}\pi'JDS' + \frac{3}{20}\pi\bar{D}\pi'\dot{S}DJ \\
& + \frac{7}{4}\pi\bar{D}\pi'\dot{J}DS + \frac{81}{20}\pi\bar{D}\pi'S'DJ - \frac{9}{20}\pi\bar{D}\pi'J'DS \\
& + \frac{4}{5}\pi\dot{\pi}'\dot{J}'S + \frac{4}{5}\pi\dot{\pi}'\dot{J}S' - \frac{3}{20}\pi\dot{\pi}'\bar{D}J'DS - \frac{3}{20}\pi\dot{\pi}'\bar{D}JDS' \\
& + \frac{6}{35}\pi\pi'J''S - \frac{267}{140}\pi\pi'J'S' - \frac{51}{70}\pi\pi'JS'' + \frac{39}{70}\pi\pi'\dot{J}\dot{S} \\
& - \frac{13}{14}\pi\pi'\bar{D}J'DS - \frac{12}{35}\pi\pi'\bar{D}JDS' \\
& + \frac{31}{14}\pi\bar{D}\pi SDJ'' - \frac{3}{35}\pi\bar{D}\pi JDS'' + \frac{11}{140}\pi\bar{D}\pi\dot{S}DJ' + \frac{29}{28}\pi\bar{D}\pi\dot{J}DS' \\
& + \frac{79}{28}\pi\bar{D}\pi S'DJ' - \frac{33}{140}\pi\bar{D}\pi J'DS' + \frac{3}{35}\pi\bar{D}\pi\dot{S}'DJ + \frac{25}{28}\pi\bar{D}\pi\dot{J}'DS \\
& + \frac{33}{28}\pi\bar{D}\pi S''DJ - \frac{5}{28}\pi\bar{D}\pi J''DS \\
& - \frac{4}{5}\pi\dot{\pi}'J^2S - \frac{4}{5}\pi\bar{D}\pi'J^2DS - \frac{8}{5}\pi\bar{D}\pi'JDJS - \frac{2}{5}\pi\dot{\pi}'J^2S' - \frac{4}{5}\pi\dot{\pi}'JJ'S \\
& - \frac{39}{140}\pi\pi'J^2\dot{S} + \frac{258}{70}\pi\pi'J\dot{J}S - \frac{969}{140}\pi\pi'\bar{D}JDJS - \frac{17}{28}\pi\pi'J\bar{D}JDS \\
& - \frac{59}{70}\pi\bar{D}\pi JDJ'S - \frac{17}{35}\pi\bar{D}\pi J^2DS' + \frac{135}{28}\pi\bar{D}\pi\dot{J}DJ S - \frac{163}{140}\pi\bar{D}\pi J'DJS \\
& - \frac{5}{14}\pi\bar{D}\pi J\dot{J}DS - \frac{11}{14}\pi\bar{D}\pi JJ'DS - \frac{1}{28}\pi\bar{D}\pi JDJ\dot{S} - \frac{11}{14}\pi\bar{D}\pi JDJS' \\
& + \frac{25}{56}\pi\bar{D}\pi\bar{D}JDJS \\
& - \frac{129}{70}\pi\dot{\pi}'J^3S - \frac{153}{70}\pi\bar{D}\pi J^2DJ S + \frac{6}{35}\pi\bar{D}\pi J^3DS \\
& + \frac{3}{10}\nu\dot{\pi}'V + \frac{2}{5}\nu\bar{D}\pi'DV + \frac{1}{5}\nu\dot{\pi}V' + \frac{1}{7}\nu\pi'\dot{V} \\
& + \frac{2}{7}\nu\bar{D}\pi DV' + \frac{3}{28}\nu\pi\dot{V}' \\
& + \frac{33}{70}\nu\pi'JV + \frac{9}{14}\nu\bar{D}\pi DJV - \frac{2}{35}\nu\bar{D}\pi JDV \\
& + \frac{81}{140}\nu\pi J'V + \frac{39}{140}\nu\pi JV' - \frac{9}{20}\nu\pi\bar{D}JDV) - \text{p.c.},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_5 = & \alpha_5(\frac{1}{2}\pi\pi'''V + \frac{1}{2}\pi\bar{D}\pi''DV + \frac{1}{14}\pi\dot{\pi}'\dot{V} \\
& + \frac{4}{7}\pi\bar{D}\pi'DV' + \frac{1}{28}\pi\dot{\pi}'\dot{V}' - \frac{2}{7}\pi\pi'V'' + \frac{5}{28}\pi\bar{D}\pi DV'' \\
& - \frac{3}{14}\pi\dot{\pi}'JV - \frac{3}{14}\pi\bar{D}\pi'VDJ - \frac{3}{14}\pi\bar{D}\pi'JDV \\
& - \frac{3}{28}\pi\dot{\pi}'J'V - \frac{3}{28}\pi\dot{\pi}'JV' + \frac{13}{7}\pi\pi'\dot{J}V + \frac{3}{14}\pi\pi'J\dot{V} - \frac{57}{28}\pi\pi'\bar{D}JDV \\
& - \frac{1}{28}\pi\bar{D}\pi VDJ' - \frac{3}{28}\pi\bar{D}\pi JDV' + \frac{5}{8}\pi\bar{D}\pi\dot{J}DV - \frac{9}{56}\pi\bar{D}\pi J'DV \\
& + \frac{15}{56}\pi\bar{D}\pi\dot{V}DJ - \frac{9}{56}\pi\bar{D}\pi V'DJ \\
& - \frac{11}{7}\pi\pi'J^2V - \frac{5}{7}\pi\bar{D}\pi JDJV - \frac{2}{7}\pi\bar{D}\pi J^2DV) - \text{p.c.},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_6 = & \alpha_6(\frac{1}{2}\pi\dot{\pi}'S^2 + \pi\bar{D}\pi'SDS + \frac{1}{2}\pi\dot{\pi}SS' + \frac{1}{2}\pi\pi'S\dot{S} + \pi\bar{D}\pi SDS' \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\pi\pi'JS^2 + \frac{1}{2}\pi\bar{D}\pi DJS^2) \\
& + \beta_6(6\pi\bar{D}\pi'SDS + 5\pi\dot{\pi}SS' + 5\pi\pi'S\dot{S} + \frac{1}{2}\pi\pi'\bar{D}SDS \\
& + 12\pi\bar{D}\pi SDS' + 3\pi\bar{D}\pi S'DS \\
& - 4\pi\pi'JS^2 - 6\pi\bar{D}\pi DJS^2 + 2\pi\bar{D}\pi JSDS) \\
& + \gamma_6(-4\pi\bar{D}\pi SDS' + 5\pi\bar{D}\pi S'DS + \pi\bar{D}\pi\dot{S}DS \\
& + 8\pi\bar{D}\pi DJS^2 - 4\pi\bar{D}\pi JSDS) - \text{p.c.},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_7 = & \alpha_7(\frac{1}{2}\pi\pi'SV + \frac{1}{4}\pi\bar{D}\pi DSV) \\
& + \beta_7(\frac{1}{2}\pi\pi'SV + \frac{1}{6}\pi\bar{D}\pi SDV) - \text{p.c.},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

$$\omega_8 = \alpha_8(\frac{1}{2}\nu\pi'S^2 + \frac{1}{4}\nu\bar{D}\pi SDS + \frac{3}{4}\nu\pi SS') - \text{p.c.} \quad (3.17)$$

The action is the sum of the standard kinetic piece and the sum of these cocycles

$$S = \int dx d\bar{\theta} d\theta \int (2JF + 2S\nabla\nu + 2V\nabla\pi + \mu\dot{\mu}' + \sum \omega_i). \quad (3.18)$$

Again, the constants are determined by imposing the integrability conditions on the equations of motion. One obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= 7\sqrt{-\frac{2}{5}}, \\ \alpha_3 &= -12\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}, \\ \alpha_4 &= 28\sqrt{-\frac{2}{5}}, \\ \alpha_5 &= \frac{14}{5}\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}, \\ \alpha_6 &= -46, & \beta_6 &= 10, & \gamma_6 &= 5, \\ \alpha_7 &= -100\sqrt{-\frac{2}{5}}\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}, & \beta_7 &= 60\sqrt{-\frac{2}{5}}\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}, \\ \alpha_8 &= -60\sqrt{-\frac{2}{5}}\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

The sign ambiguity of $\sqrt{-\frac{2}{5}} (\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}})$ corresponds to the freedom of flipping the sign of ν and S (π and V).

4. In this letter I have presented an extension of the concept of free differential algebras which allows one to incorporate nonlinearities of the type encountered in W -algebras. The Maurer-Cartan equations are the equations of motion corresponding to a Chern-Simons type action which encodes the algebraic structure in a compact way. This formalism is very well suited to the explicit construction of more complicated algebras, and I have used it here to determine the complete structure of the $N = 2$ super W_4 -algebra.

After writing this letter I became aware of [11], in which curvatures for nonlinear algebras are defined containing coadjoint scalars. This generalization of curvatures is equivalent to the generalization of free differential algebras considered here.

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank K. Pilch for useful comments on the manuscript, and L. Romans and N. Warner for their interest in this work. This research was partially supported by the Department of Energy Contract #DE-FG03-84ER40168.

References

- [1] A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Teo. Mat. Fiz.* **65** (1985) 347;
V.A. Fateev and S.L. Luk'yanov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A3** (1988) 507
- [2] I.M. Gel'fand and L.A. Dickey, *Russ. Math. Surv.* **30** (1975) 77; *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **10** (1976) 4; *ibid.* **11** (1977) 93
- [3] A. Bilal and J.-L. Gervais, *Phys. Lett.* **206B** (1988) 412; *Nucl. Phys.* **B314** (1989) 646;
I. Bakas, *Phys. Lett.* **213B** (1988) 313; *Nucl. Phys.* **B302** (1988) 189;
P. Di Francesco, C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **140** (1991) 543;
J.M. Figueroa-O'Farril and E. Ramos, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **145** (1992) 43; *Nucl. Phys.* **B368** (1992) 361; *Phys. Lett.* **282B** (1992) 357;
V.A. Fateev and S.L. Luk'yanov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A7** (1992) 853;
J.-L. Gervais, *Classical A(N) W Geometry*, LPTENS-91-35

- [4] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, C. DeWitt-Morette with M. Dillard-Bleick, *Analysis, Manifolds and Physics*, North-Holland 1982
- [5] L. Castellani, R. D'Auria and P. Fré, in *Supergravity and Supersymmetry '83*, ed. B. Milewski, World Scientific 1983
- [6] R.E.C. Perret, in preparation
- [7] H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. **B337** (1990) 652
- [8] H. Ooguri, K. Schoutens, A. Sevrin and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Commun. Math. Phys. **146** (1992) 616
- [9] A.M. Polyakov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A5** (1990) 833;
M. Bershadsky, Commun. Math. Phys. **139** (1991) 71
- [10] H. Lu, C.N. Pope, L.J. Romans, X. Shen and X.-J. Wang, Phys. Lett. **264B** (1991) 91;
L.J. Romans, Nucl. Phys. **B369** (1992) 403;
K. Huitu and D. Nemeschansky, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A6** (1991) 3179
- [11] K. Schoutens, A. Sevrin and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Nucl. Phys. **B349** (1991) 791; Phys. Lett. **255B** (1991) 549